

1 ALISON P. BUCHANAN – BAR NO. 215710
2 apb@hogefenton.com
CRYSTAL N. RIGGINS – BAR NO. 264671
3 cnr@hogefenton.com
HOGE, FENTON, JONES & APPEL, INC.
Sixty South Market Street, Suite 1400
4 San Jose, California 95113-2396
Phone: (408) 287-9501
5 Fax: (408) 287-2583

6 Attorneys for Defendants
7 THE GREAT BULL RUN, LLC and
LONE STAR RODEO, LLC

8

9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11

12 ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND, a
non-profit corporation; PEOPLE FOR THE
13 ETHICAL TREATMENT OF ANIMALS,
INC., a non-profit corporation;

Case No. 3:14-cv-01171-MEJ

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS'
COMPLAINT

14

Plaintiffs,

15

vs.

16

THE GREAT BULL RUN, LLC; LONE
STAR RODEO, LLC,

18

Defendants.

19

20 Defendants THE GREAT BULL RUN, LLC and LONE STAR RODEO, LLC answer the
21 Complaint of Plaintiffs ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND (ALDF) and PEOPLE FOR THE
22 ETHICAL TREATMENT OF ANIMALS, INC. (PETA) as follows:

23 In responding to the allegations in the paragraph entitled **Jurisdiction**, Defendants admit that
24 all defendants are citizens of different states disputing more than \$75,000, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
25 section 1332. Defendants admit that the Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants.
26 Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

27 In responding to the allegations in the paragraph entitled **Venue**, Defendants admit that
28 venue is appropriate. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

1 In responding to the allegations in the paragraph entitled **Intradistrict Assignment**,
2 Defendants admit that a substantial part of the events that give rise to this action will occur in
3 Alameda County. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
4 the allegation regarding the residence of Plaintiff Animal Legal Defense Fund and on that basis
5 Defendants deny that allegation. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

6 In responding to the allegations in **Section I**, entitled **Introduction**, Defendants deny each of
7 the allegations contained therein.

8 1. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 1, Defendants are without knowledge or
9 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said allegation,
10 and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

11 2. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 2, Defendants are without knowledge or
12 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said allegation,
13 and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

14 3. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 3, Defendants admit the allegations
15 contained therein.

16 4. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 4, Defendants admit the allegations
17 contained therein.

18 5. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 5, Defendants are without knowledge or
19 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said allegation,
20 and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

21 6. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 6, Defendants are without knowledge or
22 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said allegation,
23 and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

24 7. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 7, Defendants admit that Plaintiffs'
25 Complaint alleges one cause of action pursuant to California's Unfair Competition Law, Business &
26 Professions Code section 17200. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

27 8. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 8, Defendants admit that Plaintiffs'
28 Complaint alleges one cause of action pursuant to California's Unfair Competition Law, Business &

1 Professions Code section 17200. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

2 9. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 9, Defendants admit that Business and
3 Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204 identify who has standing to bring a cause of action
4 pursuant to California's Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code section 17200.
5 Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

6 10. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 10, Defendants admit that that
7 California Penal Code section 597b relates to "fighting, worrying or injuring of animals" and makes
8 the conduct described in that specific Penal Code section a misdemeanor. Defendants deny the
9 remaining allegations contained therein.

10 11. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 11, Defendants admit that that
11 California Penal Code section 597b relates to "fighting, worrying or injuring of animals" and makes
12 the conduct described in Penal Code section 597b a misdemeanor. Defendants deny the remaining
13 allegations contained therein.

14 12. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 12, Defendants admit that that
15 California Penal Code section 597m relates to bullfights and makes the conduct described within
16 Penal Code section 597m a misdemeanor. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained
17 therein.

18 13. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 13, Defendants admit that California
19 Penal Code section 597(b) relates to cruelty to animals and makes a person who engages in the
20 conduct described therein "guilty of a crime punishable pursuant to subdivision (d)" of Penal Code
21 section 597(b). Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

22 14. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 14, Defendants admit that Defendant
23 the Great Bull Run, LLC has previously organized Great Bull Run events. Defendants deny the
24 remaining allegations contained therein.

25 15. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 15, Defendants admit that additional
26 Great Bull Run events are planned for 2014, including an event on July 26, 2014 at the Alameda
27 County Fairgrounds. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

28 16. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 16, Defendants deny each and every

1 allegation contained therein.

2 17. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 17, Defendants admit that an injunction
3 in this matter would damage Defendants. However, Defendants are without knowledge or
4 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein,
5 and on that basis deny each and every remaining allegation contained therein.

6 18. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 18, Defendants admit that Lone Star
7 Rodeo operates a ranch in Kentucky that supplies bulls and steers to the Great Bull Run for its
8 events. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

9 19. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 19, Defendants deny each and every
10 allegation contained therein.

11 20. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 20, Defendants deny each and every
12 allegation contained therein.

13 21. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 21, Defendants deny each and every
14 allegation contained therein.

15 22. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 22, Defendants deny each and every
16 allegation contained therein.

17 23. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 23, Defendants deny each and every
18 allegation contained therein.

19 24. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 24, Defendants deny each and every
20 allegation contained therein.

21 25. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 25, Defendants deny each and every
22 allegation contained therein.

23 26. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 26, Defendants deny each and every
24 allegation contained therein.

25 27. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 27, Defendants deny each and every
26 allegation contained therein.

27 28. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 28, Defendants are without knowledge
28 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said

1 allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

2 29. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 29, Defendants are without knowledge
3 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said
4 allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

5 30. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 30, Defendants admit that alcohol is
6 available for purchase at Great Bull Run events. Defendants deny each and every remaining
7 allegation contained therein.

8 31. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 31, Defendants deny that Exhibit A of
9 Plaintiff's Complaint is a true and correct copy of the Great Bull Run's Emergency Action and
10 Event Operations Plan; Exhibit A appears to be a photograph (as referenced in Paragraph 24 of
11 Plaintiffs' Complaint), the origin and authenticity of which is unknown to Defendants. Defendants
12 deny each and every remaining allegation contained therein.

13 32. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 32, Defendants deny each and every
14 allegation contained therein.

15 33. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 33, Defendants admit that participants
16 of the Great Bull Run are required to sign a waiver. Defendants deny that Exhibit B is a true and
17 correct copy of any such waiver. Exhibit B appears to be a photograph, (as referenced in Paragraph
18 24 of Plaintiffs' Complaint), the origin and authenticity of which is unknown to Defendants.
19 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

20 34. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 34, Defendants are without knowledge
21 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein because
22 there is no purported waiver attached to Plaintiffs' Complaint as Exhibit B or as any other exhibit,
23 and on that basis Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

24 35. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 35, Defendants admit that they
25 previously planned to hold a Great Bull Run event in Lake Elsinore, California. Defendants deny
26 all of the remaining allegations contained therein.

27 36. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 36, Defendants deny that Exhibit C is a
28 copy of the letter Plaintiffs claim Defendants received from Lake Elsinore. Exhibit C appears to be

1 a photograph, the origin and authenticity of which is unknown to Defendants. Defendants deny
2 each and every allegation contained therein.

3 37. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 37, Defendants are without knowledge
4 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said
5 allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

6 38. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 38, Defendants specifically deny that
7 any alleged expenditure of resources by Plaintiffs constitutes an injury caused by Defendants that
8 would confer standing on Plaintiffs for purposes of Article III and statutory standing pursuant to
9 Business & Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204. As to the remaining allegations,
10 Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
11 allegations contained in said allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained
12 therein.

13 39. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 39, Defendants specifically deny that
14 any alleged expenditure of resources by Plaintiffs constitutes an injury caused by Defendants that
15 would confer standing on Plaintiffs for purposes of Article III and statutory standing pursuant to
16 Business & Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204. As to the remaining allegations,
17 Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 allegations contained in said allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained
19 therein.

20 40. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 40, Defendants specifically deny that
21 any alleged expenditure of resources by Plaintiffs constitutes an injury caused by Defendants that
22 would confer standing on Plaintiffs for purposes of Article III and statutory standing pursuant to
23 Business & Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204. As to the remaining allegations,
24 Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
25 allegations contained in said allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained
26 therein.

27 41. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 41, Defendants specifically deny that
28 any alleged expenditure of resources by Plaintiffs constitutes an injury caused by Defendants that

1 would confer standing on Plaintiffs for purposes of Article III and statutory standing pursuant to
2 Business & Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204. As to the remaining allegations,
3 Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
4 allegations contained in said allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained
5 therein.

6 42. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 42, Defendants are without knowledge
7 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said
8 allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

9 43. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 43, Defendants specifically deny that
10 any alleged expenditure of resources by Plaintiffs constitutes an injury caused by Defendants that
11 would confer standing on Plaintiffs for purposes of Article III and statutory standing pursuant to
12 Business & Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204. As to the remaining allegations,
13 Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
14 allegations contained in said allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained
15 therein.

16 44. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 44, Defendants specifically deny that
17 any alleged expenditure of resources by Plaintiffs constitutes an injury caused by Defendants that
18 would confer standing on Plaintiffs for purposes of Article III and statutory standing pursuant to
19 Business & Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204. As to the remaining allegations,
20 Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
21 allegations contained in said allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained
22 therein.

23 45. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 45, Defendants specifically deny that
24 any alleged expenditure of resources by Plaintiffs constitute an injury caused by Defendants that
25 would confer standing on Plaintiffs for purposes of Article III and statutory standing pursuant to
26 Business & Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204. As to the remaining allegations,
27 Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
28 allegations contained in said allegation, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained

1 | therein.

2 46. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 46, Defendants reincorporate their
3 responses to each previous paragraph. Specifically, Defendants admit each of those allegations
4 previously admitted and deny each of those allegations previously denied.

5 47. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 47, Defendants deny each and every
6 allegation contained in this paragraph.

7 48. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 48, Defendants deny each and every
8 allegation contained in this paragraph.

9 49. In responding to the allegations in Paragraph 49, Defendants deny each and every
10 allegation contained in this paragraph.

11 WHEREFORE, Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief requested in
12 the Prayer for Relief in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense Lack of Standing

17 Plaintiffs lack standing to bring the claim alleged because Plaintiffs cannot satisfy the
18 standing requirements of Article III of the United States Constitution or California Business and
19 Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.*

Second Affirmative Defense

Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction

22 The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims because Plaintiffs
23 cannot satisfy the standing requirements of Article III of the United States Constitution.

Third Affirmative Defense

Ripeness

Plaintiffs' claims are barred on the basis that the purported dispute is not ripe for adjudication where no activity has occurred in California as of the date of this pleading.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

Laches

Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of laches because Plaintiffs delayed for years in asserting their claims. Should the Court permit Plaintiffs to assert their claim at this time, Defendants will suffer undue prejudice.

Fifth Affirmative Defense
Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted

9 Plaintiffs' claims are barred where Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim upon which
10 relief can be granted.

11 | DATED: June 20, 2014

HOGE, FENTON, JONES & APPEL, INC.

By /s/
Alison P. Buchanan
Attorneys for Defendants
THE GREAT BULL RUN, LLC and
LONE STAR RODEO, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this/these document(s) filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non-registered participants on June 20, 2014.

5 | DATED: June 20, 2014

/s/ Alison P. Buchanan

Alison P. Buchanan

HOGE, FENTON, JONES & APPEL, INC.